
This Newsletter contains selected recent developments in criminal immigration law occurring during January, 2015.

The coded references following each case summary refer to the title and section number in our practice manuals in which the subject of the recent development is discussed more fully. For example, CD 4.19 refers to N. TOOBY & J. ROLLIN, CRIMINAL DEFENSE OF IMMIGRANTS § 4.19 (2007), with monthly updates online at NortonTooby.com.

INSIDE

Recent Case Decisions

Articles	1
First Circuit.....	3
Second Circuit	3
Fourth Circuit	3
Ninth Circuit.....	4
Tenth Circuit.....	4
Upcoming Seminars	4

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Articles

POST CON RELIEF – EFFECTIVE POST-CONVICTION ORDER – CONVICTION

In an EOIR Newsletter, an article describes the difference in immigration consequences between a conviction vacated on a ground of legal invalidity, and one expunged solely for purposes of rehabilitation or to avoid immigration consequences:

The expungement of a record of conviction is “[t]he removal of a conviction (esp. for a first offense) from a person’s criminal record.” Black’s Law Dictionary 621 (8th ed. 2004). A vacatur is “[t]he act of annulling or setting aside [or a] rule or order by which a proceeding is vacated.” Id. at 1546. In the immigration context, the difference between a vacatur and an expungement involves intent. Criminal courts typically expunge convictions in order to rehabilitate offenders or, in the case of noncitizens, to prevent negative immigration consequences. Criminal courts typically vacate convictions because the convictions are substantively defective, for example a due process or the right to counsel violations at trial. Accordingly, this article uses the term “vacatur” to mean removal of a conviction because of substantive defects in the conviction and uses the term “expungement” to mean removal of a conviction to rehabilitate or to prevent immigration consequences. Some courts use the terms “vacatur” and “expungement” differently, however, and some courts use entirely different terms to express these concepts. The Act is silent as to whether a criminal conviction that has been vacated or expunged has



Publication Announcement

California Criminal Defense of Immigrants Newsletter (CEB 2014)

By Norton Tooby

We are happy to announce a new newsletter, the *California Criminal Defense of Immigrants E-Newsletter*. Continuing Education of the Bar is kind enough to publish this new online newsletter, beginning with the October 2014 issue. This newsletter will cover the relevant national immigration law that affects criminal defense of immigrants in California, as well as the California law on the subject. The case summaries and other developments will be cross-referenced to the relevant sections of the new CEB practice manual, *California Criminal Defense of Immigrants*, so the newsletter will serve as a cumulative indexed update from the research cutoff date for the printed volume of the current edition to the present on an ongoing basis. You may subscribe to this newsletter from [Continuing Education of the Bar](#).

The Law Offices of Norton Tooby will continue to publish monthly online updates to the 3000-page, three-volume Criminal Defense of Immigrants, along with all of our other practice manuals, through our [Premium Web Updates](#). These updates are keyed to our practice manuals, making it easy for you to check each month to see if a new development has occurred concerning the particular practice manual, and section number, that is relevant to your work, to ensure you are aware of the most recent legal authorities on each topic.

While this office is discontinuing its California Post-Conviction Relief for Immigrants newsletter, those interested may obtain the same content, and more, by subscribing to the new CEB newsletter, *California Criminal Defense of Immigrants E-Newsletter*. In addition to the California developments on post-conviction relief for immigrants, this new newsletter will cover other topics of great importance to immigrants, including safe havens that can be used as replacement convictions when a problematic conviction is vacated, and the actual immigration consequences of most of the most common California convictions, which can be very useful in establishing claims of ineffective assistance of counsel. Subscribers to our California post-conviction relief newsletter are urged to consider subscribing to the new CEB newsletter, *California Criminal Defense of Immigrants E-Newsletter*.

immigration consequences. The Attorney General, the Board, and, with one exception, the circuit courts of appeals have adopted the following rule: [I]f a court with jurisdiction vacates a conviction based on a defect in the underlying criminal proceedings, the respondent no longer has a “conviction” within the meaning of section 101(a)(48)(A). If, however, a court vacates [or expunges] a conviction for reasons unrelated to the merits of the underlying criminal proceedings, the respondent remains “convicted” for immigration purposes.” *Matter of Pickering*, 23 I&N Dec. 621, 624 (BIA 2003) (footnote omitted). Accord *Pickering v. Gonzales*, 465 F.3d 263, 266 (6th Cir. 2006); *Alim v. Gonzales*, 446 F.3d 1239, 1248–49 (11th Cir. 2006); *Pinho v. Gonzales*, 432 F.3d 193, 215 (3d Cir. 2005); *Cruz-Garza v. Ashcroft*, 396 F.3d 1125, 1129 (10th Cir. 2005); *Sandoval v. INS*, 240 F.3d 577, 583 (7th Cir. 2001); *Matter of Marroquin-Garcia*, 23 I&N Dec. 705, 713 (A.G. 2005). The Fifth Circuit, by contrast, has held that convictions vacated for any reason, including substantive defects, retain their immigration consequences. *Garcia-Maldonado v. Gonzales*, 491 F.3d 284, 291 (5th Cir. 2007). Josh Adams, *Treatment of Criminal Convictions in the Immigration Context*, 2 *Immigration Law Advisor* (October 2008) (emphasis in original), <http://www.justice.gov/eoir/vll/ILA-Newsletter/ILA%20Vol%202/vol2no10.pdf>. CD4:11.18;PCN:8.3;AF:6.12;CMT3:10.11 CPCR6.8

CAL CRIM DEF – CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES – UNIDENTIFIED SUBSTANCE DEFENSE – PRACTICE ADVISORY Health & Safety Code §§ 11350-11352. Formerly, these offenses covered certain substances that were not on the federal list, and these statutes were therefore divisible for purposes of removal and eligibility for relief under the strict standards of *Moncrieffe and Descamps*. For example, the government conceded in *Esquivel-Garcia v. Holder*, 593 F.3d 1025 (9th Cir. 2010) that 11350 was divisible, but the conviction in that case occurred in 1989 when schedule II at HS 11055(b) (referenced by 11350) still included apomorphine, which is specifically excluded from the federal CSA. See 21 CFR §1308.12(b)(1). However, apomorphine no

longer appears on the California schedules. Likewise, *Matter of Paulus* relied on the fact that peyote is prohibited under California law, but was not a federal controlled substance at the time. Peyote now is on the federal schedule I. It is not clear whether any other controlled substances are on the California lists for these offenses, but not on the federal lists. Acetafentanyl is also an analogue to a federally controlled substance and there is a catch all in the CSA for analogues.

There is a good argument that the burden is on the government to establish every fact necessary for deportation, and the government must therefore establish that every drug on the 11350 list is also on the federal list before the offense categorically triggers deportation. N. Tooby & K. Brady, *California Criminal Defense of Immigrants* §21.31 (2014).

There is another problem with this defense against removal. The unspecified drug defense would not be successful in immigration court, if the immigration judge required a showing of "realistic probability" of prosecution in California as to the unlisted drug. *Matter of Ferreira*, 26 I. & N. Dec. 415 (BIA 2014). There is a strong argument that *Ferreira* is bad law, and should not be followed, or should be rejected by the Ninth Circuit. *Matter of Chairez-Castrejon*, 26 I. & N. Dec. 349 (BIA 2014). See also National Immigration Project of the National Lawyers Guild and Immigration Defense Project, *Practice Advisory, The Realistic Probability Standard: Fighting Government Efforts To Use It To Undermine The Categorical Approach* (Nov. 5, 2014) http://nationalimmigrationproject.org/legalresources/practice_advisories/cd_pa_realistic_probability_11-5-2014.pdf.

If the IJ does require a showing of “realistic probability” of prosecution as to the specific unlisted drug, however, counsel have been unable to come up with a sample prosecution showing offenses involving the drug are in fact prosecuted in California. It is therefore far safer at present to seek a plea to another offense that does not trigger removal, such as accessory after the fact to a drug offense, under Penal Code § 32, which is not considered a drug offense or a drug-trafficking aggravated felony. *Matter of Batista-Hernandez*, 21

THE LAW OFFICES OF

NORTON
TOOBY

Consultations

Since 1989, the Law Offices of Norton Tooby have offered expert advice and highly successful services to immigration attorneys, criminal attorneys, and clients. Our nationwide law practice assists foreign nationals in avoiding adverse immigration consequences of crimes anywhere in the country.



Immigration Lawyers

We investigate criminal histories nationwide, and analyze them to provide (a) cutting-edge immigration-court arguments why a given conviction does not trigger removal, and (b) post-conviction efforts to vacate criminal convictions to avoid immigration consequences.

Criminal Lawyers

We investigate criminal and immigration histories nationwide and offer strategies for obtaining (a) immigration-safe dispositions, and (b) post-conviction relief to eliminate immigration damage.

Individuals

We investigate your situation to (a) advise your criminal lawyer what plea will avoid deportation, (b) advise your immigration lawyer on new immigration-court arguments to avoid removal, and (c) erase convictions in criminal court to avoid immigration damage.

Testimonials:

"If you are an immigration lawyer with a defendant who has criminal issues, you only need to know two words: Norton Tooby." - Dan Kowalski

"Brilliant legal strategies."

-Ann Benson, Directing Attorney, Washington Defender Association's Immigration Project

For Mr. Tooby's biography [click here](#).

Interested in our services? Contact our office at (510) 601-1300 or submit our Intake Form to begin the preliminary review process. Once we receive your Intake Form, we will contact you and let you know if we feel we can help. Consultations can be in person or by phone. Visit www.NortonTooby.com to download the Intake Form.

I. & N. Dec. 955 (BIA 1997). For other possible safer dispositions, see N. Tooby & K. Brady, California Criminal Defense of Immigrants §§8.17-8.31 (2014).

Health & Safety Code §§ 11377-11379. These offenses certain substances that are not on the federal list, and these statutes are therefore divisible for purposes of removal and eligibility for relief under the strict standards of Moncrieffe and Descamps. *Coronado v. Holder*, 759 F. 3d 977 (9th Cir. 2014). Two federally unlisted drugs, prohibited by Health & Safety Code §§ 11377-11379 for which proof of prosecution exists are khat, prohibited under Health & Safety Code § 11055(d)(2), and chorioinic gonadatropin, prohibited by Health & Safety Code §11056(f). There may be more. Therefore, Ferreira does not prevent these statutes from being considered divisible.

CD4:21.34;AF:19.42;SH:7.67

First Circuit

RELIEF – SPECIAL IMMIGRANT JUVENILE

In re Israel O., ___ Cal.App.5th ___, 2015 WL 227892 (1st Dist. Jan. 16, 2015) (reversing the order of the juvenile court denying defendant's request that the court make factual findings that would qualify him for special immigrant juvenile (SIJ) status, and remanding for a hearing to determine whether it is in defendant's best interest to be returned to Mexico, since the findings are a prerequisite for filing an SIJ status application, to allow defendant an opportunity to pursue regularization of his immigration status in the United States; reunification of defendant with his father in Mexico is not viable due to abandonment; and an eligible minor under the SIJ statute, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(J), includes a juvenile for whom a safe and suitable parental home is available in the United States and reunification with a parent in his or her country of origin is not viable due to abuse, neglect, or abandonment).

CD4:12.7

Second Circuit

RELIEF – WAIVERS – INA § 212(h) WAIVER – LPR AGGRAVATED FELONY BAR

Husic v. Holder, ___ F.3d ___, 2015 WL 106359 (2d Cir. Jan. 8, 2015) (the aggravated felony bar to eligibility for a waiver of inadmissibility under INA § 212(h), applies only to those persons with an aggravated felony conviction who became LPRs at the time that they lawfully entered the United States); but see *Matter of Koljenovic*, 25 I&N Dec. 219 (2010).

Note: With this decision, the Second Circuit joins the seven other Courts of Appeal—an overwhelming majority—to have rejected *Matter of Koljenovic*. To date, the Eighth Circuit stands alone in upholding the BIA's decision. The First and the Tenth Circuits have not yet ruled on the issue.

CD4:24.29;CMT3:3.44;AF:2.45

Fourth Circuit

AGGRAVATED FELONY – THEFT OFFENSES – UNAUTHORIZED USE OF A MOTOR VEHICLE – DEFINITION OF THEFT

Castillo v. Holder, ___ F.3d ___, ___, 2015 WL 161952 (4th Cir. Jan. 14, 2015) (Virginia conviction of unauthorized use of a motor vehicle, in violation of Virginia Code § 18.2–102 [“take, drive or use any ... vehicle ... not his own, without the consent of the owner [] and in the absence of the owner, and with intent temporarily to deprive the owner [] of his possession [], without intent to steal the same, shall be guilty”], did not categorically qualify as an aggravated felony theft offense, under INA § 101(a)(43)(G), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(43)(G), because the full range of conduct covered by the Virginia crime of “unauthorized use” ... can and do arise based on circumstances in which the defendant's use of property deviates only slightly from the specific scope of consensual use, resulting in an insignificant effect on



Publication Announcement

California Criminal Defense of Immigrants Newsletter (CEB 2014)

By Norton Tooby

We are happy to announce a new newsletter, the *California Criminal Defense of Immigrants E-Newsletter*. Continuing Education of the Bar is kind enough to publish this new online newsletter, beginning with the October 2014 issue. This newsletter will cover the relevant national immigration law that affects criminal defense of immigrants in California, as well as the California law on the subject. The case summaries and other developments will be cross-referenced to the relevant sections of the new CEB practice manual, *California Criminal Defense of Immigrants*, so the newsletter will serve as a cumulative indexed update from the research cutoff date for the printed volume of the current edition to the present on an ongoing basis. You may subscribe to this newsletter from [Continuing Education of the Bar](#).

The Law Offices of Norton Tooby will continue to publish monthly online updates to the 3000-page, three-volume Criminal Defense of Immigrants, along with all of our other practice manuals, through our [Premium Web Updates](#). These updates are keyed to our practice manuals, making it easy for you to check each month to see if a new development has occurred concerning the particular practice manual, and section number, that is relevant to your work, to ensure you are aware of the most recent legal authorities on each topic.

While this office is discontinuing its California Post-Conviction Relief for Immigrants newsletter, those interested may obtain the same content, and more, by subscribing to the new CEB newsletter, *California Criminal Defense of Immigrants E-Newsletter*. In addition to the California developments on post-conviction relief for immigrants, this new newsletter will cover other topics of great importance to immigrants, including safe havens that can be used as replacement convictions when a problematic conviction is vacated, and the actual immigration consequences of most of the most common California convictions, which can be very useful in establishing claims of ineffective assistance of counsel. Subscribers to our California post-conviction relief newsletter are urged to consider subscribing to the new CEB newsletter, *California Criminal Defense of Immigrants E-Newsletter*.

ownership interests. [Footnote omitted] These circumstances stand in stark contrast to crimes involving the intentional, nonconsensual takings that typically involve significant impairment of ownership rights and damage to the property as described by the BIA in its elaboration of the term “theft offense.” See VZS, 22 I. & N. Dec. at 1349.”); quoting Overstreet v. Commonwealth, 17 Va.App. 234, 435 S.E.2d 906, 908 (Va.Ct.App.1993).
CD4:19.94;SH:7.103, 8.46;AF:5.79, A.42, B.43

Ninth Circuit

AGGRAVATED FELONY – FRAUD OFFENSES – LOSS AMOUNT – CANNOT INCLUDE LOSSES INCURRED PRIOR TO MOMENT DEFENDANT JOINED THE CONSPIRACY

United States v. Rice, ___ F.3d ___, 2015 WL 265459 (9th Cir. Jan. 22, 2015) (reversing sentence for conspiracy to commit money laundering offense, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(3)(A), since district court improperly based the sentence and restitution order on a loss amount that included money laundered before the defendant joined the conspiracy).
CD4:19.74;SH:7.82;AF:5.56

CAL POST CON – GROUNDS – INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL – CONFLICT OF INTEREST

People v. Almanza, ___ Cal.App.4th ___, ___, ___ Cal.Rptr.3d ___, 2015 WL 368283 (6th Dist. Jan. 29, 2015) (“Because defense counsel was not representing two or more defendants concurrently, under *Doolin*’s bright-line rule we apply the traditional Strickland prejudice standard to his claim. . . . Accordingly, it is possible that the outcome might have differed absent the conflict of interest. But Strickland speaks not of possibilities, but reasonable probabilities. Jane’s inculpatory statements are buttressed by defendant’s own inculpatory statement and behavior. There is no reasonable probability of a different outcome.”).
Cal Crim Def §20.39

CPCR7.28

Tenth Circuit

JUDICIAL REVIEW – ABUSE OF DISCRETION STANDARD

Mena-Flores v. Holder, ___ F.3d ___, ___, 2015 WL 294629 (10th Cir. Jan. 23, 2015) (“The agency abused its discretion if it failed to give a rational explanation, inexplicably deviated from past policies, failed to supply any reasoning, or rested on summary or conclusory statements. *Infanzon v. Ashcroft*, 386 F.3d 1359, 1362 (10th Cir.2004).”).
CD4:15.37;AF:2.19;CMT3:3.18

Upcoming Seminars

Pre-AILA Immigration Law and Crimes CLE Seminar



June 17, 2015
Washington, D.C.
9am - 5pm

Law Offices of Norton Tooby

Publication & Subscription Order Form

____ Ship
____ Pick Up

Name _____ Date ____/____/____ Type of Law Practiced _____

Firm _____ Phone (____) _____

Email (Required if purchasing a subscription, online edition, or PDF) _____

Shipping Address _____

City/State/Zip _____

Payment method: Check (*Payable to: Law Offices of Norton Tooby*) Visa MasterCard American Express

Card # _____ Exp. ____/____ Code: _____ Signature _____

Billing Address (if different from Shipping) _____

City/State/Zip _____

How did you hear about us? Exhibit Online Mailing Word of Mouth Email

Practice Manuals (updated online monthly, unless published by CEB)	Print	Online	Both	Quantity	Total
Aggravated Felonies (2006)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$285	<input type="checkbox"/> \$175	<input type="checkbox"/> \$285		
California Criminal Defense of Immigrants (CEB, 2014)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$195				
California Post-Conviction Relief for Immigrants (2009)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$285	<input type="checkbox"/> \$135	<input type="checkbox"/> \$285		
Criminal Defense of Immigrants (Volumes I, II, III) (2012)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$485	<input type="checkbox"/> \$335	<input type="checkbox"/> \$485		
Criminal Defense of Immigrants (Volume III) (2012 Update)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$245				
Post-Conviction Relief for Immigrants (2004)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$245	<input type="checkbox"/> \$135	<input type="checkbox"/> \$245		
Safe Havens: How to Identify & Construct Non-Deportable Convictions (2005)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$285	<input type="checkbox"/> \$175	<input type="checkbox"/> \$285		
Tooby's Crimes of Moral Turpitude (2008)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$245	<input type="checkbox"/> \$135	<input type="checkbox"/> \$245		
Other Publications	Print	PDF	Both		
Winning <i>Padilla</i> Claims (2012)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$245	<input type="checkbox"/> \$195	<input type="checkbox"/> \$245		
Tooby's Categorical Analysis Tool Kit (2009)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$245	<input type="checkbox"/> \$135	<input type="checkbox"/> \$245		
Tooby's Checklists on Criminal Immigration Law (2010)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$245	<input type="checkbox"/> \$135	<input type="checkbox"/> \$245		
Tooby's Guide to Criminal Immigration Law (2008)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$45	FREE	<input type="checkbox"/> \$45		
Subscriptions	Price				
Premium Resources Membership	<input type="checkbox"/> \$19.95/month				
<p>Publications may be returned in their original condition within 30 days of purchase for a full refund. Please include a copy of the invoice and a reason for the return. Shipping and handling fees are nonrefundable.</p> <p>Please note that shipping rates shown are only valid for the continental United States. For shipping outside the continental United States or to foreign countries, please call (510) 601-1300.</p>				Subtotal	
				15% Premium Resources Deduction	
				TOTAL SALE	
				CA residents, add 8.75% sales tax	
				\$10 shipping & handling per volume	
GRAND TOTAL					

To Place Your Order, Please Visit www.NortonTooby.com

By Mail: Send this form to Law Office of Norton Tooby, 2831 Telegraph Ave., Oakland, CA 94609, Attn: Publications

Fax: (510) 595-6772 Email: pubs@nortontooby.com Questions? Call: (510) 601-1300

Practice Manuals
(updated monthly online)

CALIFORNIA CRIMINAL DEFENSE OF IMMIGRANTS

600 pages (2014, CEB) By N. Tooby & K. Brady
Print: \$195 – Online version available from CEB

- Use the only California-specific book on this topic.
- Protect you client's immigration interests in both misdemeanor and felony cases.
- Learn the best practices for research, investigation, negotiation, and resolution.
- Identify alternative acceptable pleas and avenues to post-conviction relief.
- Includes charts, checklists, diagnostic questionnaires, scripts, and additional resources.

AGGRAVATED FELONIES

1000 pages (2006 Ed.) By N. Tooby & J. Rollin
Print/Both: \$285, Online Edition: \$175

- Summaries of all cases from all courts defining what is and is not an aggravated felony and sentence
- Fully indexed, with a table of cases and other useful aids to save hours of research

CALIFORNIA POST-CONVICTION RELIEF FOR IMMIGRANTS

780 pages (Second Edition, 2009) By N. Tooby
Print/Both: \$285, Online Edition: \$135

- State-of-the-art after *People v. Kim*
- All forms of relief (including new ones)
- All grounds of legal invalidity
- What relief is effective in immigration court
- Cutting-edge immigration court arguments

CRIMINAL DEFENSE OF IMMIGRANTS

Three Volumes, 3000 pages (2012, 4th Ed. with update)

By N. Tooby and J. Rollin

Print/Both: \$485, Online Edition: \$335

Volume III Update: Print Only: \$245

- How to defend immigrants in criminal court, including avoiding immigration consequences
- Detailed treatment of immigration consequences of criminal convictions
- Useful for both immigration and criminal counsel
- Chapter on Interpreters
- Volume III contains all updates from 2007 to 2012

POST-CONVICTION RELIEF FOR IMMIGRANTS

700 pages (2004 Ed.) By N. Tooby

Print/Both: \$245, Online Edition: \$135

- Detailed information on how to obtain post-conviction relief to reduce or eliminate adverse immigration consequences of convictions
- 40 constitutional and other federal grounds to vacate convictions

- Federal procedures for obtaining relief, such as motions to vacate, habeas corpus and coram nobis

TOOBY'S CRIMES OF MORAL TURPITUDE

800 pages (2008 Ed.)

Print/Both: \$245

Online Edition \$135

By N. Tooby, J. Rollin, and J. Foster

- Updated through 3/1/08
- Includes all immigration decisions defining CMTs
- Useful in all jurisdictions
- Comprehensive and fully indexed

Other Publications

WINNING PADILLA CLAIMS

405 pages (2012 Ed.) Edited by N. Tooby

Print/Both: \$245, PDF: \$195

- Includes 4-hour audio package of Norton Tooby's seminar
- How to comply with *Padilla* and obtain post-conviction relief
- Useful in any jurisdiction nationwide
- Current state of criminal and immigration law after *Padilla*
- Valuable information on evaluating the chances of post-conviction relief success

TOOBY'S CATEGORICAL ANALYSIS TOOL KIT

545 pages (Second Edition, 2009)

Edited by Norton Tooby

Print/Both: \$245, PDF: \$135

- New, revised and expanded
- Totally re-written after *Nijhawan* decision
- Current state of the law on categorical analysis
- Appendix specifying type of analysis for each ground of removal. Save a great deal of time in research!

TOOBY'S CHECKLISTS ON CRIMINAL IMMIGRATION LAW

616 pages (2010 Ed.) By N. Tooby

Print/Both: \$245, PDF: \$135

- Best checklists from all our previous books
- Updated for accuracy
- Conviction-based grounds of deportation and inadmissibility
- Aggravated felony checklists
- Famous crimes of moral turpitude table
- Quickly focus on relevant grounds

TOOBY'S GUIDE TO CRIMINAL IMMIGRATION LAW

200 pages (2008 Ed.) By N. Tooby

Print/Both: \$45, PDF: FREE

- Short, simple, easy to understand
- How we can protect defendants' immigration status, stage by stage in a criminal case
- Summary of CRIMINAL DEFENSE OF IMMIGRANTS