Vacating Convictions Under *Padilla*National Master Class on Ineffective Assistance of Counsel

Presented by the Law Offices of Norton Tooby Saturday, March 10, 2012 - Berkeley, CA

Seminar Outline

08:30 a.m.	Registration Begins	
09:00 a.m.	Introduction and Outline	
09:15 a.m.	Session I: Evaluating the Chances of PCR Success	
10:45 a.m.	Break	
11:00 a.m.	Session II: Immigration-Related Claims of Ineffective Assistance of Counsel After <i>Padilla</i>	
12:15 p.m.	Lunch	
01:15 p.m.	Session III: Proof of Prejudice	
02:30 p.m.	Break	
02:45 p.m.	Session IV: Overcoming Barriers to Relief: Procedure, Vehicles, and Retroactivity	
04:15 p.m.	Questions and Answers	
05:00 p.m.	Seminar Ends	
	Table of Contents	
Detailed So	eminar Outline1	
Note Guid	es3	
Session	Session I: Evaluating the Chances of PCR Success	
	II: Implications of <i>Padilla</i> for Post-Conviction Relief – Procedure, Vehicles, and ivity	
Session	III: Immigration-Related Claims of Ineffective Assistance of Counsel	
Session	IV: Proof of Prejudice16	

Materials

Practi	ice Aids by Norton Tooby	
1.	N. Tooby, Winning Padilla Cases	.19
2.	N. Tooby, Post-Conviction Padilla Claims	.20
3.	N. Tooby, Tooby's Guide to Criminal Immigration Law, Chapter 5, Post-Conviction	
	Procedure	.28
4.	Intake Information Sheet	.48
5.	Plan of Attack Form	.53
6.	Post-Conviction Case Evaluation Checklist	.54
7.	N. Tooby, Evaluating the Chances of Obtaining Post-Conviction Relief	.55
8.	Checklist of Guilty Plea Grounds of Legal Invalidity	.82
9.	N. Tooby, Effective Order: Post-Conviction Relief Orders That Will Be Effective in	
	Immigration Court	.85
Prose	cutorial Duty to Negotiate Immigration Consequences	
	N. Tooby, Prosecutorial Duty to Negotiate Immigration Consequences	114
	a. Los Angeles District Attorney's Office, Special Directive 03-04 Collateral	
	Consequences (25, 2003)	117
	b. Jeff Rosen, District Attorney, Santa Clara County, California, Memo re Collate	
	Consequences (Sept 14, 2011)	
Conti	nuing Removal Proceedings to Allow Time to Obtain Post-Conviction Relief	
11	. Continuing Removal Proceedings to Allow Time to Obtain Post-Conviction Relief	126
	a. Anonymous BIA Decision Remanding Case to Allow Presentation of Evidence	to
	IJ Concerning Respondent's Request for Padilla Post-Conviction Relief	127
	b. Respondent's Supplemental Brief in Support of Oral Motion for a Continuance	
	[of Removal Proceedings to Allow Time for Post-Conviction Padilla Claim to	be
	Adjudicated in Criminal Court]	130
	c. Anonymous BIA Decision in Matter of Ingram	137

Practice Advisories
12. Immigrant Defense Project, Practice Advisory, Duty of Criminal Defense Counsel
Representing an Immigrant Defendant After Padilla v. Kentucky*
13. D. Kesselbrenner, National Immigration Project, Practice Advisory: Retroactive
Applicability of <i>Padilla v. Kentucky</i> *155
Law Review Article
14. J. Roberts, Proving Prejudice, Post-Padilla, 54 Howard L.J. 693 (2011)*164
Judicial Decisions
15. Padilla v. Kentucky, 130 S.Ct. 1473 (March 31, 2010)
16. United States v. Orocio, 645 F.3d 630 (June 29, 2011)
17. Denisyuk v. State, A.3d, 2011 WL 5042332 (Ct. App. Md. October 25, 2011)251
18. Commonwealth v. Clarke, 460 Mass. 30, 949 N.E.2d 892 (June 17, 2011)267
19. State v. Sandoval, 171 Wash.2d 163, 249 P.3d 1015 (March 17, 2011)277
Pleadings
20. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari, Chaidez v. United States (national review of Padilla
retroactivity decisions)
21. Brief of Amicus Curiae, People v. Andrews (Padilla retroactivity, duty to advise that state
rehabilitative relief is ineffective to remove immigration consequences, and prejudice)322
22. Brief of Amicus Curiae, Commonwealth v. Marinho (raises Padilla plea bargaining error
after trial)
Other Resources
About the Presenters
Other Resources